

APPENDIX i: TABLE OF REPRESENTATIONS, AND THE COUNCIL'S RESPONSE AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ANY CHANGES TO THE REVIEW DOCUMENT IN RELATION TO THEM – FOR TUNSTALL C.A. REVIEW

Rep. No(s).	Representation By	Summary of Representation	Officer Response	Recommendation
1	Tunstall Parish Council	<p>Commended and fully support the Review, the continuation of this Conservation Area and the boundary changes/extensions.</p> <p>In particular, we welcome the recognition of the importance of the setting of the historic buildings which includes important green spaces as shown on page 11 diagram. It is these green spaces, trees and hedgerows, woven in amongst the historic buildings which give Tunstall its unique character and setting, flowing through to important views across adjacent fields.</p> <p>We are pleased that the key views in and out across the corner field to the south of School View houses have been recognised and marked as such on the page 11 image, aiding protection of this field for the future as part of the Tunstall street scene. Approaching the Conservation Area from the south and west, it is the sweeping view across this meadow, usually with grazing sheep, which is distinctive and defines the character of the Area on entry.</p> <p>Although not included in the Conservation Area, we are pleased to see the historic importance of the Grove End Farm complex recognised and noted.</p> <p>We welcome and support the inclusion of the meadows (shown as Shooting Meadows on page 30 in the Review) to the east of the 2003 Conservation Area as important parts of Tunstall history and more recent community use by Tunstall residents.</p>	Noted and welcomed	No change to review document needed.

TUNSTALL C.A. REVIEW – REPRESENTATIONS, RESPONSE & RECOMMENDATIONS TABLE (Continued)

Rep. No(s).	Representation By	Summary of Representation	Officer Response	Recommendation
1 (cont')	Tunstall Parish Council	We welcome the management plan in the Review to help focus management for the future. We note the suggestions on Coffin Pond, for example, as a key site. Tunstall Parish Council is already working with KCC in a major review of this site with a committed project from KCC to rectify the drainage problems there and replace the pond above. The site can then play both its dual roles of land drainage and wildlife pond.	Noted and welcomed	Section 4.5 of the review document to be updated to reflect this project, the works for which are already scheduled.
2	Bredgar Parish Council	Commended the work done in the Tunstall Conservation Area Review, cited it as an excellent document setting out in clear terms the importance of these settlements as considered from multiple perspectives: historical, topographical, geological, in relation to their buildings, the local building materials, their highways, and so on. This kind of thorough analysis is of great significance, providing not only a clear-eyed picture of the character of Tunstall as it is currently, which will be available for consultation in perpetuity, but also programmes of management, improvement and in some cases, extension.	Noted and welcomed	No change to review document needed.
3	Historic England	Attention is drawn to the following aspects: View: all views identified should include a detailed description of the views and their constituent parts, alongside clear photographs, outlining the contribution the views make to the character and appearance of the conservation area. Positive Contributors: as identified in a map on page 7 of the document, should be listed in a separate table and described to ensure their qualities are described to ensure their qualities are fully explained.	Noted and welcomed Noted	Document to be updated to incorporate these revisions Document to be updated to provide a table of positive contributors with their qualities explained.

TUNSTALL C.A. REVIEW – REPRESENTATIONS, RESPONSE & RECOMMENDATIONS TABLE (Continued)

Rep. No(s).	Representation By	Summary of Representation	Officer Response	Recommendation
3 (cont')	Historic England	<p>The appraisal states '<i>...a handful of buildings within the Tunstall Conservation Area would be eligible for inclusion within the Swale Local Heritage List</i>'. It may be appropriate to list those that merit inclusion.</p> <p>Historic England supports the production of this statement and the associated management plan and proposed boundary changes for the Tunstall Conservation Area.</p> <p>The comments provided do not address unscheduled archaeology. Please seek comments on these matters from your Council's own Archaeology Officer</p>	<p>Noted. A list can be provided for buildings which on the face of it, would appear to meet the approved Swale Local List criteria, but this would nevertheless need to be subject to the building(s) in question being formally considered by the assessment panel to be set up to make decisions on what to include on the Swale Local List given that an element of subjectivity will inevitably apply.</p> <p>Noted and welcomed.</p> <p>SBC does not have its own Archaeology Officer, but the advice of KCC's Principal Archaeologist was sought at the outset and his feedback is incorporated into the public consultation draft</p>	<p>Separate list of buildings (within the proposed new conservation area) to be considered for Swale Local List inclusion to be provided in an amendment to the assessment document.</p> <p>No change to the assessment document needed.</p> <p>No change to the assessment document needed.</p>

TUNSTALL C.A. REVIEW – REPRESENTATIONS, RESPONSE & RECOMMENDATIONS TABLE (Continued)

Rep. No(s).	Representation By	Summary of Representation	Officer Response	Recommendation
4	Local Resident	<p>Supports proposals to include Shooting Meadow within the conservation area boundary</p> <p>Pond requires major work to restore it after several botched repairs over many years. Puddled clay base as original. The wall needs restoring to its original height</p> <p>Village sign would be better with a wrought iron one</p> <p>Traffic calming would be better if removed. Causes noise over bumps and encourages road rage</p> <p>Remove excessive street signs. i.e. Ducks, haven't seen any for over 10 years! Deep water signs, water less than a metre deep!</p> <p>The flint wall ending at the church carpark next to our garden steps is damaged every few months requiring continual repair. A suitable rural bollard is needed to prevent tails of lorries swinging and causing damage.</p>	<p>Noted</p> <p>Noted. See comments on this in relation to Rep. No. 1 from Tunstall Parish Council.</p> <p>Noted. Consideration could be given to this when the current (perfectly adequate) sign needs replacing</p> <p>Noted. This is a matter for KCC.</p> <p>Noted. Management recommendations already include an audit of street signage</p> <p>Noted.</p>	<p>No change to the review document needed</p> <p>No further change to the review document needed over and above those recommended in relation to Rep. No. 1.</p> <p>No change to the review document needed.</p> <p>No change to the review document needed.</p> <p>No change to the review document needed.</p> <p>Management plan recommendation updated to include provision of protective bollard.</p>

TUNSTALL C.A. REVIEW – REPRESENTATIONS, RESPONSE & RECOMMENDATIONS TABLE (Continued)

Rep. No(s).	Representation By	Summary of Representation	Officer Response	Recommendation
4 (cont')	Local Resident	In the Northeast of the existing Conservation area there may be merit in including the rectangular field next to the corner paddock in the proposals.	The area of arable field in question is of no particular interest or importance.	No change to review document needed.
5	Local Resident	Fully support the consultation document, in favour of the proposed amendment to adjust the boundary to include the land known as "Shooting Meadows"; Commended the document as a very well-produced and impressive piece of work which really highlights the special character and charm of this ancient little Kentish village.	Noted and welcomed	No change to review document needed.
6	Local Resident	<i>'Extremely well written and clearly set out document'</i> . In summary, I SUPPORT adoption of the draft Tunstall Conservation Area Appraisal & Management Plan. I would ask that consideration should also be given to auditing/reviewing the traffic calming throughout the conservation area, which is not fit for purpose, as well as consideration of a width restriction for vehicles for 'other than access' purposes, so as not to interfere with the haulage business in Grove End farm.	Noted and welcomed Noted, but this is a matter for KCC Highways to consider (see also the comments and officer response in relation to Rep. No. 12)	No change to review document needed. No change to review document needed.
7	Local Resident	Fully support and commend the recognition of the historical significance of Shooting Meadow in Tunstall, Queried exclusion of Grove End Farm within the current appraisal and suggested could Tunstall not have a second CA that specifically encompasses that location, or an Article 4 direction placed?	Noted and welcomed There is a large gap between Grove End farm and the current CA boundary, it might be prudent to apply Article 4 direction on the properties that fall within the setting of the Designated Grove Farm House cluster of buildings. To be explored.	No change to review document needed. No change to review document needed.

TUNSTALL C.A. REVIEW – REPRESENTATIONS, RESPONSE & RECOMMENDATIONS TABLE (Continued)

Rep. No(s).	Representation By	Summary of Representation	Officer Response	Recommendation
8	Local Resident	Having been a resident of Tunstall for many years, I was delighted that Swale were able to undertake a Conservation Area review and the special character of Tunstall has been recognised. I fully support the report and its recommendations.	Noted and welcomed	No change to review document needed.
9	Local Resident	Tunstall and its surrounding area has a special character to it that is essential that it is preserved. The Tunstall Conservation Area Appraisal and Management plan document that has been produced has accurately captured the special qualities of this historic village, its buildings, its Trees and hedgerows, and surrounding land. Definitely an area that requires care and attention to maintain for future generations.	Noted and welcomed	No change to review document needed.
10	Local Resident	This comprehensive study emphasises the value and unique character of the village I have known all my life. The proposed changes to the boundary seem sensible and I would urge you to adopt the review.	Noted and welcomed	No change to review document needed.
11	Local Resident	Commended the document as a first-class piece of work and strongly supported and endorsed its conclusions regarding this very special village.	Noted and welcomed	No change to review document needed.
12	Local Resident	Raised concerns regarding high traffic through Tunstall	Noted, but outside the scope of this work. A matter for KCC Highways to consider at a more strategic level.	No change to review document needed.
13	Local Resident	Support the Conservation Area review and proposed boundary extensions and commend the special character of Tunstall described and recognised in this Review.	Noted and welcomed	No change to review document needed.

TUNSTALL C.A. REVIEW – REPRESENTATIONS, RESPONSE & RECOMMENDATIONS TABLE (Continued)

Rep. No(s).	Representation By	Summary of Representation	Officer Response	Recommendation
14	Local Resident	Support the appraisal document and have raised concerns regarding escalating costs of upkeep of listed buildings and the advice available.	Noted	No change to the review document needed.
15	Local Resident	Tunstall is a unique and beautiful village and should be preserved for future generations to enjoy	Noted and welcomed	No change to the review document needed.