

**Proposed Double Yellow Lines - Forge Road/Milton High Street**

Response	Support	Object	Comments
1		1	1. The proposed double yellow lines go back too far into Forge Road, they only need to stop at the front garden boundary line of 2 Forge Road. 2. The parking is already extremely busy with workers in the High Street and Milton School visitors (school run) often making it impossible for residents to park on the road remotely near their house, if the lines go back far back this will make the problem considerably worse. 3. Even without the double yellow lines, Forge Road and the High Street should have a 2 hour limit and no return within 4 hours, with the exception of the residents permits, which should be free. 4. Residents should have guest permits for visitors. 5. Parking bays should be put on the road, a small portion of the parking bay should be on the pavement to allow cars to park both sides.
2		1	I live on *** Milton High Street and I object to the proposal for double yellow lines on Forge Road and high Street, Milton Regis. You plan with the double yellow lines will leave 10-12 cars with no chance of finding a parking spot near our homes. It is very hard to find a place to park on Forge Road or Milton High Street even now. I hope you take my comments into consideration.
3	1		We support the proposal to install double yellow lines.
4		1	Could the yellow lines end 3.5 - 4 feet before my drive gates so that my children can park outside (over gates) when they visit or I can pull in. I have lived here since 1968 and have always had that space. I am 71 and if the children come to take me out, I will soon not be able to walk that far to a car. Without this space, it will be streets away to find one. Plan submitted. I believe it will devalue my property.
<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	

		<b>7</b>	<b>Properties Consulted</b>
<b>% Returned</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>No. Returned</b>
<b>% Support</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>No. Support</b>
<b>% Object</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>No. Object</b>

### Proposed Double Yellow Lines - Hilton Close (1 & 12), Faversham

Response	Support	Object	Comments
1	1		I am in full support of these proposed lines. We would be in support of these lines being extended round the front of No.1 Hilton Close. I have made numerous complaints to the Council regarding cars parked on the pavement directly outside my house and over a junction. These cars cause obstructions to both pedestrians and other vehicles. I am pleased that at least some yellow lines are being installed, especially on this corner. Recently a delivery van drove into my hedge causing damage due to these parked cars.
2	1		Not specified which section of Hilton Close - My husband and I support the proposal to install double yellow lines on our road. There are often cars parked on the proposed area making it a danger to both cars and pedestrians. Having yellow lines will improve the environment here on Hilton Close.
3	1		I fully support your proposal for yellow lines on the corners next to No1 and No12. It is a dangerous, blind, narrow bend, and the cars parked there make it worse. In addition, the cars are often parked badly making it difficult for larger vehicles to get through. None of the cars parked are from residence of the road, so when an ambulance struggled to get down a few weeks back there was no way of asking the owner to move it. I would actually urge you to extend your proposal, with the lines also to the front of No1 and extended along the side boundary of my property. Please see annotations on my attached photo. (Plan supplied)
4	1		This suggestion is vital as there are frequent near accidents on this corner.
5	1		This is the area that I think requires the greatest attention as vehicles regularly park on this corner creating possible hazards and restricting access particularly for larger vehicles which are often required to mount the footway to be able to negotiate the bend. The vehicles that are parked here do not belong to residents of the Hilton Close or to those visiting residents. I would therefore support the proposals but I am concerned that these restrictions will not solve the current problem. Vehicles currently park from the corner as far as the drive to No.14 and outside the front of No.1 which all cause problems when negotiating this junction. I would therefore suggest that the proposed yellow lines are extended as shown marked on the copy of your plan as attached.

**Proposed Double Yellow Lines - Hilton Close (1 & 12), Faversham**

Response	Support	Object	Comments
6	1		The sooner the better. I would like the yellow lines to be extended a little bit further (plan supplied) at both ends. This is because there has been a number of near accidents. People (not residents of Hilton Close) are parking on the pavements preventing pedestrian access and most importantly to allow access to emergency vehicles risk free.
7	1		While I agree with the new lines, the problem of car owners from Preston needing to park will continue and the Council needs to resolve this. Surely the use of our community football club's car park at Salters Lane would be a simple answer to this.
<b>Total</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	

		<b>8</b>	<b>Properties Consulted</b>
<b>% Returned</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>No. Returned</b>
<b>% Support</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>No. Support</b>
<b>% Object</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>No. Object</b>

## Proposed Double Yellow Lines - Hilton Close (13 & 30), Faversham

Response	Support	Object	Comments
1		1	Two letters submitted, and referred to Ward Member to respond. Comments included the fact that parking at this location has only recently been a problem due to works taking place nearby, and stating that parked vehicles will slow traffic which is a positive thing. Suggested focus should be on installing restrictions near No.1 Hilton Close.
2		1	As the owners of number ** Hilton Close and therefore being directly impacted by the proposal we are hereby writing to confirm our objection to it. We run two cars. The larger one is always parked on our driveway and with our garage used for storage have no other option but to park our other car, a small *****, outside our property in the area of the proposed yellow lines. If the proposal were to be accepted the only option to park near our property would be to park outside No.15. However, this would restrict access to larger vehicles, such as the refuse collection lorry or larger emergency vehicles coming round the corner between our property and No.15. We have witnessed the refuse lorry struggle and fail to get round the corner in the past with other vehicles parked there. On occasion we drive past our car parked in the area of the proposed yellow lines and it has never affected our sightlines or felt unsafe. Also, it is not parked in the area of the proposal between 8am to 5:30pm every week day and in the 7+ years we have lived here we are unaware of any road traffic accidents in the area of the proposed yellow lines and therefore feel the issues of safe movement and sightlines have been somewhat overstated. We also feel that adding yellow could have the adverse effect of allowing vehicles to negotiate the corner at higher speeds, thus increasing risk and not reducing it further. I understand there are multiple areas under review for yellow lines in Hilton Close and that not all residents have been consulted. I feel all residents should be notified of all the proposals as limiting parking further affects all of us. Parking availability for residents and visitors is already limited, restricting it further will only exacerbate existing parking availability issues. Finally, what is the Council's solution for parking in Hilton Close if this proposal goes ahead and who would monitor and enforce non-compliance?
3		1	I would like to register very strongly my objections to the proposed yellow lines in Hilton Close, Faversham. My reasons are:- your plan clearly shows that yellow lines would be in front of my drive. The previous owner of my house purchased a section of land from no. 30 (I live at no. **) which has extended my drive to reach the wall and front garden of no.30. The lines would prohibit any parking of my family, when visiting, (I have 2 cars already parked on my drive) even though it would not obstruct any passing traffic into or out of the close. I also feel that the yellow lines would result in people parking on the opposite side of the road ie: outside no. 22 and 24. In the close we have many cars parked that belong to people who live in the cottages on the A2. We also have numerous cars parked when Faversham have football matches being played, some even parked on the yellow lines at the entrance to Hilton Close. This obviously has not been the case recently due to Covid 19. In my opinion one or perhaps two additional speed bumps would help to solve the problem of cars driving too fast on the bend of the road.

## Proposed Double Yellow Lines - Hilton Close (13 & 30), Faversham

Response	Support	Object	Comments
4		1	We object to the proposal to install double yellow lines outside No. 13 and No. 30 Hilton Close, Faversham. Whilst we acknowledge there is an issue with the corners on Hilton Close we believe this is more related to the speed at which people drive. Installing double yellow lines will obviously reduce the number of cars parked on corners but we think by doing this people will drive even quicker around the bend creating more near misses and potentially accidents too. If double yellow lines are installed where you have suggested, people will just park their vehicles on the opposite side of the road, directly outside No 24 and No. 22. This will mean the people exiting the close will automatically be on the wrong side of the road as they turn right, creating a greater risk of an accident occurring. If the double yellows do go ahead, then they should be installed on both sides of the road outside number 24. There is already a shortage of parking available when guests visit and this will be made worse by the installation of double yellow lines. We see the main issue is not related to parking but instead the speed at which people drive. We believe a better course of action is to install a further speed bump between the two bends or some other traffic calming system. It would also be beneficial to have the bushes trimmed on the corners to improve people's line of sight as they approach the bends (please don't remove them completely as they are popular with hedgehogs which are endangered). We also see people who do not live in the close parking here overnight. This is more often the case at the entrance to Hilton Close between Nos. 12 and 14 but vehicles are also left near number 13. I believe there is also an application to install double yellow lines near No 1 and No 12 Hilton Close. If this is done it will mean people living on the A2 will just park their cars further down Hilton Close. Perhaps the lack of parking for residents on the A2 should also be addressed
5	1		
6	1		In my view there is not a particular problem here currently. However, I suspect that if the proposals are approved near No.1 and No.10 then displaced parking may well occur further down the road and could result in vehicles being parked on this bend. I would therefore support these proposals.
<b>Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	
		<b>9</b>	<b>Properties Consulted</b>
<b>% Returned</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>No. Returned</b>
<b>% Support</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>No. Support</b>
<b>% Object</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>No. Object</b>

**Proposed Double Yellow Lines - Hilton Close (29 & 46), Faversham**

Response	Support	Object	Comments
1		1	<p>We have received a letter from yourselves re the above proposal, and as the home owners of No.** (one of the two properties effected) We would like to strongly register our objection. There has never been an issue in the 14 years we have lived in Hilton Close and find the fact the road is narrow actually slows traffic down and therefor makes it safer for all concerned. We believe the money would be better spent adding the missing pathway for pedestrians around these bends, and not, as we believe listening once again to one cantankerous resident who seems to have made it his lives objective to make problems for all other residents. We're of course assuming that a resident has proposed this fictitious issue to you and led to this needless proposed action.</p>
2		1	<p>As residents and owners of ** Hilton Close we do not object in principle to the proposal as we appreciate the dangers that exist on several corners along Hilton Close as well as problems already experienced by refuse and other large vehicles needing access. However we are concerned that vehicles unable to park in the section of road affected will use the space outside our house which could lead to difficulties accessing and departing our drive due to vehicles obstructing our driveway entrance. Would it be possible to consider extension of yellow lines in the area to cover driveways to 48,50, 52 and 54. A greater level of parking outside our house would also create difficulties for the residents of nos. 29 and 31 when they wish to get cars in and out of their drives. We have lived here since 2014 and there has been a noticeable increase in the numbers of vehicles parking in the road which causes increasing pressure on the limited space available to park. As daily users of this road we would also be interested to see details of any other planned double yellow lines further down the road towards the A2.</p>

## Proposed Double Yellow Lines - Hilton Close (29 & 46), Faversham

Response	Support	Object	Comments
3		1	I live at no.** and I object to the proposal put forward by the Swale Council. Reasons against the proposal: 1) This does not solve the issue reducing cars from parking on the estate. Instead this will move the problem further up the estate whereby cars will have to park outside other neighbouring buildings therefore potentially blocking other vehicles in their own driveways. The road along the whole estate is narrow. 2) In the 2.5 years I have lived on the estate, I have never experienced a problem with the people parking on the bend near No. 29 & No. 46. Dustbin lorries, food delivery lorries and other large vehicles have managed to drive around this bend without trouble. I do agree that there is a problem with the very first bend near No. 1 Hilton Close but that is because there is limited parking for the terraced houses on the A2. 3) I think is extremely unfair not to allow anyone visiting No.29 (either relatives or contractors working on their house) to park outside their house. Where do these visitors park when visiting? In my view, this end of the estate does not see a lot of traffic, has not experienced problems with parked vehicles on the bend and we all know each other well enough at this end of the estate to knock on neighbouring doors and politely ask if a vehicle can be moved if there is an issue. One very good solution which has been totally over looked, is if there really is an issue with parking on the bend, then can the foliage which is owned by the council (and there is lots of it all along the estate) be cut back and made into road allowing a wider road on the bend. This is a much better long term solution than the one on offer, especially as the council rarely ever comes and cuts this foliage back
4		1	1. Please elaborate on exactly what complaints/problems have been raised in relation to the above. 2. On what days of the week and times of the day would the double yellow lines be enforced? 3. Are there any additional proposals for parking restrictions in the rest of the Close? 4. We have lived in the Close for five years and neighbours that we know in the Close since its development in 2002, have never witnessed any parking problems that could not be resolved through neighbourly conversation. 5. We believe that this proposal would cause further problems by causing a parking issue in other parts of the Close. The number of cars will not diminish (particularly with more home-working). 6. We <u>strongly</u> disagree with this proposal and if it were to be enacted would raise strong grievances with our local Councillor and MP.
5		1	
6		1	I am not aware of there being a problem with parked vehicles on this bend in the road and I think it unlikely that displaced parking will occur this far down the road. I would therefore object to these proposals

## Proposed Double Yellow Lines - Hilton Close (29 & 46), Faversham

Response	Support	Object	Comments
7		1	To whom it may concern: I object to the Proposal .I have recently contacted Swale Borough Council about my concerns of the protruding corners in Hilton Close, its the size of them that have been an issue since the road was adopted by the council. The shrubbery gets overgrown and the corners cause problems for passing vehicles and pedestrians. I was advised by Swale to put my concerns to Kent County Council and they in turn have contacted me to advise they will cut back the shrubbery but I would need to contact Swale about the parking in the close. My main concern as such is not the maintenance of the shrubbery outside our property of number ** or the other corners for that matter, as we try our best to keep it maintained, the KCC only come out once a year and unfortunately it can become an eyesore very quickly. It is the parking, or should I say the <u>lack</u> of parking! Yellow lines will only add to the frustration and arguments we already witness in the close. Yellow lines will only escalate the problem and move the issue somewhere else, within the close causing more mayhem. To be fair people do not park on the actual bends as its too dangerous, but of course will park outside properties. Visitors, family, friends and trade vehicles park outside these homes. Where are these vehicles supposed to go? These yellow lines will naturally make people park outside other people's homes that do not have lines and of course this will lead to many more frustrations. Has this local member who put this request in been in contact with a certain cantankerous person who lives in the close who seems to want to cause as much friction as possible? We want to try and find a solution not cause further problems. The most logical solution perhaps would be to reduce the size of the protruding corners, thus allowing additional vehicles to park outside homes and in turn allow the free flow of traffic, without the need to mount the corner kerbs, this to would reduce the maintenance problem with the messy shrubbery and allow residents to park without worry, have visitors, trade vehicles, refuse collection and large vehicles pass freely up and down Hilton Close because as you know it is a dead end!
8		1	Create problem for deliveries and service engineers, i.e gasboard, water supply, taxis, hospital transport which I use of necessity, and we use internet food deliveries.
9		1	If 2 of the so called flower beds were taken away on the middle corner and the lowest corner it would certainly widen these areas making it more safer. The 1st corner all that needs in the hedge cutting down which is causing blind spots coming into the estate and going out of the estate, thus it will stop friction between neighbours having to park elsewhere and there's enough friction on this estate without adding to it.
<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	

		<b>9</b>	<b>Properties Consulted</b>
<b>% Returned</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>No. Returned</b>
<b>% Support</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>No. Support</b>
<b>% Object</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>No. Object</b>

**Proposed Double Yellow Lines - Lammas Drive & Cortland Close, Milton Regis**

Response	Support	Object	Comments
1		1	I object to the proposal. Comments; 14 Lammas drive was given permission by planning to change use of a dwelling into 5 flats with no allocated parking this has increased the number of vehicles horrendously and as such the already local residents have been paying the penance by not having anywhere to park after finishing work. Adding double yellow lines will only make this situation worse and also increase the number of cars parking on Milton recreation ground. I have lived in this road for 34 years (2 dwellings) and the parking situation is at an all time low unfortunately the lines installed earlier this year at the end of Lammas drive were very much needed the parking on the corner via beechwood Avenue was dangerous and perilous for residents leaving Lammas drive and much appreciated but this has caused a huge increase of parking on Milton recreation ground which is sad and causes horrendous damage to the park. I believe if the lines are implemented then the same will happen along our road. The lines for the disabled access and refuse/workmen access to the park should be put into place, many visitors to Milton high street (dentist, doctors and pharmacy) along with employees from the high street park on our road and in doing so park with no consideration for access to the park. The lines along Lammas and cortland are not needed, our household is the owner of a long wheel based ***** and on the return from work daily I have to navigate a reverse turn via cortland close and I have never not been able to access, turn or reverse because of the road being blocked in over 10 years of owning the vehicle. This is also a very quiet cul de sac road with no through traffic and it really is a waste of manpower and money that would only penalise the small amount of residents who already live here. I would rather the money was spent tackling the thoughtless people who constantly park on the recreation ground ruining what has always been growing up in my eyes a beautiful gift to the local community
2	1		I am very pleased that the above yellow lines are going ahead subject to the outcome of the consultation. The only concern I still have is will the refuse truck and emergency vehicles be able to get through as this depends on how cars park on the opposite side of the road.
3	1		
4	1		Whilst we fully agree with the current proposals we feel they do not go far enough to prevent vehicles from creating a blockage. In this respect we suggest that the double yellow line, placed opposite Cortland Close, would ensure the junction is kept free for all emergency and service vehicles.
<b>Total</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	
		<b>7</b>	<b>Properties Consulted</b>
<b>% Returned</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>No. Returned</b>
<b>% Support</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>No. Support</b>
<b>% Object</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>No. Object</b>

**Proposed Double Yellow Lines - Newlands Avenue/London Road, Sittingbourne**

Response	Support	Object	Comments
1	1		I live at * Newlands Avenue and had a dropped kerb installed many years ago, but cars still park up on the pavement and sometimes over part of my dropped kerb and this makes it difficult to access my drive. I have asked about having double yellow lines in this area to stop cars parking on the pavement but have been told I have to apply to KCC. In view of the proposal to put double yellow lines in below my house, I wonder if you could apply them to the part of the pavement below my dropped kerb to solve my problem. The people who park on the proposed area in your plan will be looking for alternative parking areas and I fear this will only exacerbate my problem. I fully support this plan, it has been needed for some time
<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	
		<b>6</b>	<b>Properties Consulted</b>
<b>% Returned</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>No. Returned</b>
<b>% Support</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>No. Support</b>
<b>% Object</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>No. Object</b>

**Proposed Double Yellow Lines - Periwinkle Close, Sittingbourne**

Response	Support	Object	Comments
1	1		Would it be possible for there to be no vans allowed as they will block elsewhere or permit parking which majority of residents are in favour as we get a lot of commuters parking here.
2	1		Yellow lines would make that part of Periwinkle Close more accessible for emergency vehicles etc to gain access. But with cars, vans and lorries that park there will have to go somewhere, and there is not enough spaces as it is, not enough space with commuters, Chalkwell Road and the flats parking their cars. We are used as a car park. The best thing is to have allocated parking outside each numbered house (property). At least we would be able to park outside ones own house.
3	1		The main problem in the road is the number of commercial vans in the road, combined with parking overflow from Charlotte Street and commuter traffic and parking.
<b>Total</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	
		<b>12</b>	<b>Properties Consulted</b>
<b>% Returned</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>No. Returned</b>
<b>% Support</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>No. Support</b>
<b>% Object</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>No. Object</b>

**Proposed Double Yellow Lines - Queenborough Road/St Peter's Close, Halfway**

Response	Support	Object	Comments
1	1		I support the proposal to install double yellow lines. We feel that the lines proposed on Queenborough Road should be made longer because as you come out of Holm Place and look to your LEFT you still would NOT be able to see past the vehicles parked there.
2	1		Sketch submitted of requested extension to double yellow lines proposed for Queenborough Road, by additional 10 metres either side of St Peter's Close junction. I did make some notes but since lock down the speed of drivers on the Queenborough road had increased dramatically. Can you let me know who I would need to speak to about speed limits or cameras? I have lived at this address for over 20 years and this is the most dangerous I have ever seen it and im sure there will be a serious accident soon. I have always asked that the road surface be kept in good condition as when there are pot holes of poor repairs creating a bump our house shakes where heavy lorries speed past. I have actually put my house on the market as the road is now to unbearable to live next too but I would like to speak to someone regarding this in case I can't sell my house
3	1		I support the proposal to install double yellow lines.
4	1		In the past we have struggled to leave the close safely.
<b>Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	

		<b>16</b>	<b>Properties Consulted</b>
<b>% Returned</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>No. Returned</b>
<b>% Support</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>No. Support</b>
<b>% Object</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>No. Object</b>

**Proposed Double & Single Yellow Lines - Middletune Avenue, Milton Regis**

Response	Support	Object	Comments
1		1	I live at * Middletune Avenue and would like to know if the lines would mean I cannot park outside my home between 8am-9am and 3pm-4pm. If this the case then I strongly object to the proposal as I do not leave for work until around 8.30am to 9am and some days I work from home, I would like to suggest that parking permits and temporary permits are issued for visiting family and friend, or the school open the gates and let parents drive them into the school directly rather than blocking the road.
2	1		I live at * Middletune Avenue where the proposed double yellow lines would be installed across my drive, I support this as it seems the only option available to stop people parking across it.
3	1		I support the proposal to install yellow lines, I am fed up of parents parking across my drive especially when there is school functions especially at school times and when the school hold their firework displays they have no Marshalls one of the stalls selling light up toys once set up on my drive but the school don't care, something should also be said about this.
4		1	I am a resident at * Middletune Avenue. We received a letter about single yellow lines outside our property, unfortunately I object to this proposal as myself and my sister both have vehicles and work shift work so it wouldn't be possible for us to try and move our cars. If we have days off or have worked all night, we shouldn't have to get up to move our vehicles for the restricted times. I feel this isn't helping us at all and actually makes it worse for us residents, we shouldn't be restricted to when we can park outside our own homes. Also there will be nowhere for us to move our vehicles too near us. We asked for help during school times so we could still park outside our homes after work at any time of the day, not for us to be restricted too. Thank you for taking the time to read my email. I'll look forward to hearing from you soon
Same address as response 4			<p>I have recently been notified on your decision to put yellow lines in front of my house to restrict parking at certain hours. As a resident at * Middletune Avenue, and I have been for 25 years, I feel as if this will not correct the problems we are currently having. Myself as well as my sister both drive and work shifts therefore we come home at different times of the day but when I finish at 3, I have to wait around till 20 past at work as I know I would not be able to get anywhere near my house to park. After working a ten hour shift I want to be able to come home and park outside my house, not have to park 10 minutes away and walk up. When I found out about the work being done in north street to make that an entrance for the school, I was delighted. Finally our problems with parking have gone and we don't have to worry about what time we have to get home because we would be able to get parked. However the problem is still occurring even though I was told that only people who walk could use the entrance near Middletune and those that drive use the one at north street? Was I mistaken? I think the best possible solution to this problem, and the cheapest, is to use the north street entrance for vehicles and to just leave it as it is. Thank you for taking the time to read my email. Look forward to hearing from you soon</p>

**Proposed Double & Single Yellow Lines - Middletune Avenue, Milton Regis**

Response	Support	Object	Comments
5		1	Do not agree, why should our residents have to suffer again because of school parking. Bring in resident permit parking. Do not agree with the single yellow lines.
<b>Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	
		<b>9</b>	<b>Properties Consulted</b>
<b>% Returned</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>No. Returned</b>
<b>% Support</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>No. Support</b>
<b>% Object</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>No. Object</b>

**Proposed Loading Ban - Hope Way, Sheerness**

Response	Support	Object	Comments
1	1		Great idea as Hope Way is often too congested with the blue badge holders on top of the taxi rank
2	1		We as a company fully support this proposal. We often struggle with deliveries due to blue badge holders.
<b>Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	
		<b>5</b>	<b>Properties Consulted</b>
<b>% Returned</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>No. Returned</b>
<b>% Support</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>No. Support</b>
<b>% Object</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>No. Object</b>

**Proposed Double Yellow Lines - Gordon Square, Faversham**

Response	Support	Object	Comments
1	1		
<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	

		<b>8</b>	<b>Properties Consulted</b>
<b>% Returned</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>No. Returned</b>
<b>% Support</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>No. Support</b>
<b>% Object</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>No. Object</b>