

Park Road, Sittingbourne - Proposed Extension to CPZ - August 2019

| Response | Support | Object | Comments |
|----------|---------|--------|---|
| 1 | 1 | | |
| 2 | 1 | | In addition I think Ufton Road and Park Road junction should have a change of priority such that Park Road users give way to Ufton Road users. In addition, build-outs at side junctions should be provided with trees to green the street. |
| 3 | | 1 | Two cars per household? Do your maths, it does not equate |
| 4 | 1 | | |
| 5 | 1 | | Will the impact on other adjoining roads also be considered? (e.g Roonagh Court) |
| 6 | | 1 | Parking during the day this far up Park Road isn't the problem. The problem starts when people arrive from work and after 6pm it doesn't apply anyway, so what's the point? A money making scheme! |
| 7 | | 1 | We OBJECT! You're just pushing the problem further up each time the bays are added. More bl**dy money! We should not have to pay to park outside our house. Put the bays in - FREE for residents and charge non-residents? Stop putting in bays! Absolutely outrageous. |
| 8 | 1 | | We have 1 permit already as parking is so bad in Park Road. It stops people parking all day on a daily basis, even 1 week, it's getting ridiculous. On Saturdays we get people living in permit area with more than one car or just don't want to pay for one permit parking outside Valenciennes Road etc. |
| 9 | 1 | | Needs to happen ASAP, too many people parking and then going into London for work. Too many customers from Gore Court Arms Public House parking cars on road all night who don't live local, not collected in morning. Lots of commercial vans parked at top of Park Road also. |
| 10 | | 1 | I very rarely have a problem with parking and I am in and out most days. The permit would still not guarantee a space! This just seems to be another scheme to get more money out of residents for the council!! |
| 11 | | 1 | 1. The main problem is parking in the evenings and weekends, which this scheme does not cover! 2. What happens when having work done on house, which requires lengthy period of parking? Or guests that stay longer than two hours? |
| 12 | 1 | | To stop commuters and people that live in the existing parking scheme from parking outside our houses |
| 13 | | 1 | During the day there is always parking spaces available. So why permits? Obviously evenings are different because householders are home from work. |
| 14 | 1 | | |
| 15 | 1 | | |
| 16 | | 1 | Only going to push traffic to other roads. Going to make parking worse. Going to make it dangerous for other roads. Nothing wrong with current system!! Most families have more than two cars. Most family members are disabled so have more than two cars at this address. Ridiculous idea! Park Road needs this area for big families. Petition is ridiculous as this area helps the businesses, houses that need extra room, and takes heavy parking off other areas. Petition is a stupid idea. |

| Response | Support | Object | Comments |
|----------|---------|--------|--|
| 17 | 1 | | |
| 18 | 1 | | Pity it can't be made 24/7, might stop the commercial vehicles in the road. |
| 20 | | 1 | As I said at your last attempt to bring in Permit Parking. I live at the top of Park Road and during the day time there are plenty of parking spaces. The problem time is late afternoon and early evening when residence are coming home from work and non residences are parking to use the vets or go to the pub. If we choose to endorce permits and you said NO PARKING for non permit holders between say 4pm & 7pm there wouldn't be a problem for us to park. I object to the scheme and my reason is , you say NO parking between 8am and 6pm but they can for 2hrs. From 4pm non permit holders can park and stay there until 8 am which helps no one at this end of park road. Why would we pay £45 and still be unable to park ? |
| 21 | | 1 | I am saying NO to the extenstion of residents parking scheme that has been proposed..I see no point to it. This just seems a money making scheme for Swale Council. However If it is for raising revenues then I would propose that every road in Swale adopts this policy and not just certain areas and roads as this seems unfair. Potentially if there are roads in the vicinity that you don't need to pay you will just push cars into those areas. |
| 22 | 1 | | We are supporting resident parking in park road.We have one permit as we can never get parked in our road, it's free parking for those going to work for the day or even one week.People in Valenciennes road and permit end park road also park for days at a time when plenty spaces in there area specially Saturday.Theres going to be double yellow lines outside the pet shop, which will be losing another space which makes it even harder for parking.People are parking ridiculous leaving no room for anyone else yes please for permit then solicitors, estate agents etc will have to pay for parking like everyone else. |
| 23 | | 1 | I am writing to object to an extension of the parking scheme to include the top section of Park Road. I live at *** Park Road and have posted back the letter I received, I am emailing to ensure my opinion is heard. I do not see that introducing parking permits will improve parking, which isn't bad at the moment. The lack of parking at some times is accounted for by the veterinary surgery and the pub, not residents. As the proposal allows two hours of parking without a permit there will be no impact on people using these businesses, nor parking to drop off and pick up children at the local schools. During the working day there are spaces and parking is easy in the evening and weekends I sometimes have to park a few cars a way or in a nearby street but this isn't unreasonable in a busy town. The reason for congestion appears to be the number of cars households have, restricting this to two won't make a huge difference most house plots are narrow, a couple of larger cars are wider than plots. Some people have work related vehicles parked on the road which seems to upset some residents but as we live in a part of town with little off street parking this is to be expected. I haven't noticed parking permits making a significant difference to the rest of Park Road and neither have friends of mine who live in the area. Thank you for taking the time to read this. |

| Response | Support | Object | Comments |
|----------|---------|--------|--|
| 24 | 1 | | I am writing in regard of the proposed extension of the existing parking scheme to cover the southern end of Park Road, Sittingbourne. Living on the very cusp of the current parking restrictions, my wife and I strongly support the application. Spaces outside our house are taken daily by people working in the town centre, taking advantage of the end of parking restrictions that occurs soon after the Valenciennes Road junction. I would not be surprised, however, if households living further down the road, towards the Gore Court Arms pub, will be against the proposals, simply because they are less affected by it. It is the households in the vicinity of the border with the residents' parking zone that are affected worst, and should therefore be most supportive of this proposed new measure. (plus other comments around road safety, speeds and request for zebra crossing, referred to KCC) |
| 25 | 1 | | We both support the proposal to extend the current residents parking scheme. Park Road and surrounding roads continue to be a struggle to park. We forever find that we can not park outside or near our property as others take advantage. Whether using the spaces to go to work, visit the local pubs or go into town. We are more than happy to pay to park outside our property if it guarantees a space and our cars are safe. |
| 26 | | 1 | Please note we object the proposal for the extension of residents' parking permits on Park road for the following reasons: - Two cars per permit and two permits per house, allows for resident to own and park 4 cars which we think is excessive and will not ease the parking situation in the road (basically over 4m worth of parking per house). - The issue with parking is not from non-residents using the road but from too many residents' cars - this can be identified by the ease of parking during the working week but difficulty after 5pm and on weekends. (Many who own a drive or garage do not use these and prefer to park out the front which adds to the problem). - The knock on effect is that the surrounding side roads are also congested with vehicles and the permits will push residents to park in Ufton Lane and beyond which will mean further parking zones will be required. We would be happy to consider the proposal if it was limited to one permit with 2 cars registered per house. |
| 27 | | 1 | I wish to strongly OBJECT to this proposal, as I don't wish to be charged for parking near my property. |
| 28 | | 1 | What happens to my dropped kerb? Does it get white lines painted on road? |
| 29 | 1 | | |
| 30 | | 1 | Not required as commuters do not park this far up Park Road |
| 31 | 1 | | |
| 32 | 1 | | This year I had a car sit outside my house for 3 months. No one seemed to know who it belonged to. Every day people leave their cars outside then walk off into town. It's getting worse. |

| Response | Support | Object | Comments |
|----------|---------|--------|--|
| 19 | | 1 | <p>I am writing in response to the correspondence received this morning regarding the extension to the resident's parking scheme in Park Road and wish to lodge my very strong objections. I reside directly opposite the junction with Ufton Lane and we are the last house to benefit from on-street parking before the double yellow lines begin. My reasons are as follows: 1. The time of operation 8am-6pm is unnecessary. For most of the day, say from 9 am until 3.15pm, the road is quite empty. I am in and out of the house all day, every day, using my car and never have any problem in finding a space, normally right outside my property. 2. The 3 schools nearby (Minterne, The Oaks & St Peter's) all use the top of Park Road for parking during the school run period. Whilst this can cause congestion (I simply avoid returning or leaving the house during these times), the cumulative pressure that this will put on other roads, especially nearer the schools will be a serious hazard. Any restriction at the top end of Park Road would be a reckless decision, causing serious safety concerns. 3. The landlords at the Gore Court Arms public house set a wonderful example of respectful behaviour for the neighbourhood. Vehicles parked in the area are usually for short stays and any restriction would mean that either their clientele will park in other roads, increasing pressure in those areas or in fact, will visit other establishments. Not a helpful move in the current climate, I am sure. 4. The 3 properties to the south of us (Nos. 265, 267 & 269 Park Rd) currently do not have on-street parking outside and I presume at the moment they park in other roads or indeed further down Park Road. In the light of the purchase of 2 permits per household, I would suggest that they may feel more of an understandable right to park as close to their properties as possible: thus causing further unnecessary tension in the area. 5. The most difficult time to park at the top of Park Road is AFTER 6pm. It would seem that your current proposal will do nothing to alleviate this situation. Other local councils have introduced more suitable time zones, dependent on need. This may be something that you might like to research and consider before merely extending the current area as a matter of expediency. 6. Finally, I note that this proposal has been raised as the result of a petition. I therefore must inform you that when we were canvassed in this respect, the gentleman concerned was very biased in his spiel on our doorstep and had we not already had such discussions as a family, it would have been far easier to have signed the petition, so that we could continue with our evening meal. I therefore have to question how many other residents may have felt the same?</p> |
| 33 | | 1 | <p>We received a letter in the post, which was asking us if we agreed with or opposed a proposal, for an extension to a Residents' Parking Scheme, in upper Park Road, Sittingbourne. Both my husband and I object strongly to the proposal for this scheme to get the go ahead. We live at *** Park Road, and would like to know how you are able to get two permits for parking, in this highly congested part of Park Road. Are we going to park one car on top of the other?????</p> |
| 34 | 1 | | <p>To confirm our position we fully support the extension to the parking scheme. This is very much needed and we look forward to it.</p> |
| 35 | 1 | | <p>It can't happen quickly enough!!</p> |

| Response | Support | Object | Comments |
|---------------|-----------|-----------|---|
| 36 | 1 | | Fully support proposal, we mean we park near our house andnothave commuters taking our space all day |
| 37 | 1 | | |
| 38 | | 1 | To whom it may concern, please find attached. We at *** Park Road, Sittingbourne, once again strenuously object to any extension of the chargeable controlled parking zone to the top end of Park Road, on the basis that this effectively represents an additional tax on residents who do not experience parking difficulties of any note, especially before 5pm, with it presenting NO advantage to residents wishing to park near to their homes in weekday evenings after 5pm or at weekends. In 2009, the objections of 88 residencies in Park Road and Ufton Lane were submitted as a petition to SBC, which consequently (and correctly in the interest of the majority of residents), voted down the extension, which was a matter of expedience to the council in extending into Area 2 simply because it was working on the lower Area 1 at the time) rather than of benefit to the residents. Please, once again, quash this un-needed and expensive non-solution to the parking interests of our section of Park Road. |
| 39 | 1 | | Apologies for the delay with this but we've been away so did not receive your letter in time. My husband and I live at *** Park Road and fully support the proposal at extend the current residents parking scheme. |
| 40 | 1 | | I support the proposal to extend the currents residents parking scheme. Since the scheme at the lower portion of Park Road has been in place, none of the intended problems have been solved only moved, and even compounded by the fact residents who choose not to purchase a permit now all park at the top of the road. In addition all the commuters, and people who work in town now leave their cars in the top portion of the road where the scheme is not in place. I see no valid reason not to extend the scheme further to all similar roads in the surrounding area, and extending the scheme to residents 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. |
| 41 | | 1 | Will effect business, and non-customers will use car park |
| TOTALS | 23 | 18 | |

| | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|
| Properties Consulted | 94 |
| No. Returned | 41 |
| No. Support | 23 |
| No. Object | 18 |
| % Support | 56 |
| % Object | 44 |
| % of overall residents support | 24 |
| % of overall residents object | 19 |